



Ventura County Public Works Agency - Watershed Protection
formerly Watershed Protection District
Waste Discharge Identification (WDID) No. 4 56M1000326

Annual Report

**Regional Municipal Stormwater Permit Regulating
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Discharges within
Los Angeles Region**

Order No. R4-2021-0105, NPDES No. CAS004004



Reporting Year 2022-2023

**Regional Phase I MS4 NPDES Permit
Order No. R4-2021-0105
NPDES No. CAS004004**

**Watershed Management Program Progress Report Form
Reporting Period 2022-2023**

1. Watershed Management Program

Ventura County Watershed Protection District is participating in development of Ventura Countywide Watershed Management Programs which were submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board on September 11, 2023.

**Regional Phase I MS4 NPDES Permit
Order No. R4-2021-0105
NPDES No. CAS004004**

**Annual Report Form
Reporting Year 2022-2023**

Sections 2-8 of this form include items to be reported individually by each Permittee for this reporting year unless otherwise indicated.

Permittee Name	Ventura County Watershed Protection District
Permittee Program Contact	Arne Anselm
Title	Deputy Director
Address	800 South Victoria Ave
City	Ventura
Zip Code	93009
Phone	805 654-3942
Email	Arne.Anselm@ventura.org

2. Legal Authority and Certification

Complete the items on this page.

2.1 Answer the following questions on Legal Authority [Order – VI.B.2].

Question	Yes	No
Is there a current statement certified by the Permittee’s chief legal counsel that the Permittee has the legal authority within its jurisdiction to implement and enforce each of the requirements contained in 40 CFR § 122.26(d)(2)(i)(A-F) and the Order?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Has the above statement been developed or updated within this reporting year? If yes, attach the updated legal authority statement to this report.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

2.2 Complete the required certification below [Attachment D – V.B.5].

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

Signature of either a principal executive officer, ranking elected official, or by a duly authorized representative of a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

- a. The authorization is made in writing by a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
- b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.)
- c. The written authorization is submitted to the Regional Board.

If an authorization of a duly authorized representative is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization will be submitted to the Regional Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications, to be signed by an authorized representative.

Signature: 

Name: Glenn Shephard, PE
Title: Ventura County Watershed Protection District Director

Date: 12/1/2023

3. Program Expenditures

Complete the following items in this section.

3.1 Source(s) of funds used in this reporting year, and proposed for the next reporting year, to meet necessary expenditures on the Permittee's stormwater management program [Order – VI.C.2].

Implementation of Ventura County Watershed Protection District's stormwater management program as required by the Permit is funded by Benefit Assessment Program.

3.2 Complete the table below on program expenditures for this reporting year [Attachment D – VII.A.5]. Enter “0” for any fields that do not apply.

Category	Capital Expenditures ¹	Land Costs	Personnel Cost	Consultant (s) Cost	Overhead Costs	Construction Costs	Permit(s), Operation, and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	Total Expenditures for this Reporting Year	Program Budget for Next Reporting Year
(1) Program Management²	\$0	\$0	\$71,780	\$12,397	\$106,695	\$0	\$0	\$190,872	\$337,367
(2) NPDES MS4 Permit Fees	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0*	\$0	\$0*
(3) Minimum Control	PIPP	\$0	\$0	\$21,629	\$61,865	\$0	\$0	\$83,494	\$135,060
	Industrial / Commercial Facilities Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Planning & Land Development Program³	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Construction Program	\$0	\$0	\$46,500	\$57,964	\$0	\$0	\$16,252	\$120,716

¹ Exclude land costs.

² Including but not limited to program management plans, mail, legal support, travel, conferences, printing, producing manuals and handbooks, annual/semi-annual reporting, development and maintenance of any electronic databases required by this permit including GIS, and other non-labor costs.

³ Including but not limited to environmental review, development project approval and verification, and permitting and licensing costs specific to the provisions of the Order that are beyond the scope of a normal plan review, permitting, and inspection process.

Category		Capital Expenditures ¹	Land Costs	Personnel Cost	Consultant (s) Cost	Overhead Costs	Construction Costs	Permit(s), Operation, and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	Total Expenditures for this Reporting Year	Program Budget for Next Reporting Year
	Public Agency Activities Program Channel inspections & cleanout	\$0	\$0	\$1,068,393	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$749,477	\$1,817,870	\$800,000
	IDDE Program	\$0	\$0	\$2,250 O&M budget*	O&M budget*	O&M budget*	\$0	\$0	\$2,250 O&M budget*	\$7,000 O&M budget*
	Additional Institutional BMPs / “Enhanced” MCMs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(4) Watershed Management Program Development⁴		\$0	\$0	\$51,334	\$631,494	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$682,828	\$349,264
(5)	Distributed Projects and Green Streets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Regional Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

⁴ Include costs for development and/or revision of Implementation Plans (e.g., TMDL Implementation Plan, Watershed Management Programs including Reasonable Assurance Analysis). Specify which plans these are in Section 3.3.

⁵ If a Permittee is implementing a project collaboratively, the Permittee should only include the portion of the project cost that it is assuming.

Category		Capital Expenditures ¹	Land Costs	Personnel Cost	Consultant (s) Cost	Overhead Costs	Construction Costs	Permit(s), Operation, and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	Total Expenditures for this Reporting Year	Program Budget for Next Reporting Year
	Other Structural BMPs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(6) Trash Compliance	Trash TMDL⁶ a) Full Capture Devices (FCDs) in Ramona & Las Posas Basins b) MFAC costs are included in ⁽⁸⁾ Others - TMDLs Monitoring & Reporting	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	O&M budget*	O&M budget*	O&M budget*
	Discharge Prohibitions - Trash⁷	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(7) Monitoring	Monitoring Plan Development⁸	\$0	\$0	\$40,363	\$74,288	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$114,650	\$62,467
	Outfall and Receiving Water Quality Monitoring	\$12,410	\$0	\$141,579	\$94,566	\$373	\$0	\$0	\$248,928	\$402,011

⁶ Includes full capture, partial capture, and institutional controls used to comply with trash TMDLs.

⁷ Includes full capture, partial capture, and institutional controls used to comply with Statewide Trash Provisions.

⁸ Includes costs to develop and/or revise monitoring plans (e.g., TMDL Monitoring Plan, IMP, CIMP, non-stormwater screening and monitoring program). Specify which plans these are in Section 3.3.

Category	Capital Expenditures ¹	Land Costs	Personnel Cost	Consultant (s) Cost	Overhead Costs	Construction Costs	Permit(s), Operation, and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	Total Expenditures for this Reporting Year	Program Budget for Next Reporting Year
BMP Effectiveness Monitoring	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Regional Studies⁹	\$0	\$0	\$42,504	\$134,023	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$176,526	\$268,760
Special Studies¹⁰	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(8) Other¹¹ - TMDL Monitoring & Reporting	\$0	\$0	\$44,035	\$171,233	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$215,268	\$250,000
TOTAL	\$12,410	\$0	\$1,530,366	\$1,237,829	\$107,068	\$0	\$765,729	\$3,653,402	\$2,836,928

3.3 Additional Information: Please add any additional comments on stormwater expenditures below.

** Additional resources including personnel and contractors were expended in FY2022-23 and budgeted for FY2023-24 (“O&M budget”), but determination of exact MS4 compliance costs is not possible as it is also mixed with response efforts to other types of public complaints and O&M activities.*

“\$0” for MS4 Permit fee; per State Water Board, Sara A. Fee Unit | Division of Administrative Services: ‘Flood control districts or other special districts named as co-permittees to MS4 permits and school districts, serving students between kindergarten and fourteenth grade, shall not pay an annual fee if the city or county within whose jurisdiction the district lies oversees the district’s storm water compliance and pays an annual fee.’

⁹ Includes costs to comply with Part X (Regional Studies) of the Attachment E-MRP.

¹⁰ Includes costs to comply with Part XI (Special Studies) of the Attachment E-MRP.

¹¹ Enter costs in this table but specify what this “Other” category consists of in Section 3.3.

4. Non-Stormwater Discharge Prohibitions

Complete the following items in this section.

4.1 Provide an assessment of the effectiveness of the Permittee’s control measures in effectively prohibiting non-stormwater discharges into the MS4 to the receiving water [Order – III.A].

Watershed Protection District works collaboratively with ten incorporated Cities and County to ensure effective discharge prohibition of non-stormwater discharges from the city and unincorporated areas.

4.2 Describe sources of non-stormwater discharges determined to be a NPDES permitted discharge, a discharge subject to CERCLA, a conditionally exempt non-stormwater discharge, or entirely comprised of natural flows [Order - III.A.2].

None in 2022-23 reporting year. In prior years, examples of the above discharge categories would include ag discharge subject to Conditional Waiver or rising groundwater.

4.3 Check all that apply [Order – III.A.4].

There has been non-stormwater discharge(s) to an ASBS	<input type="checkbox"/>
The non-stormwater discharge(s) to the ASBS caused or contributed to an exceedance receiving water limitations, WQBELs, water quality objectives in Chapter II of the Ocean Plan, or an undesirable alteration in natural ocean water quality in an ASBS	<input type="checkbox"/>
Additional BMPs were implemented to address the exceedances above	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.4 If you had non-stormwater discharge(s) to an ASBS that caused or contributed to an exceedance receiving water limitations, WQBELs, water quality objectives in Chapter II of the Ocean Plan, or an undesirable alteration in natural ocean water quality in an ASBS, describe what additional BMPs were implemented to address these exceedances. How effective were those BMPs in addressing the exceedances? [Order - III.A.4.b]

N/A

4.5 Did you develop and implement procedures to ensure that a discharger, if not a named Permittee in this Order, fulfilled the requirements of Part III.A.5.a.i-vi? If so, provide a link to where the procedures may be found or attach to this Annual Report [Order – III.A.5.a].

Page 1

The VCWPD continued to implement a program to permit authorized discharges directly into its MS4 system. Permits are required for all direct connections to VCWPD facilities. The permit conditions require dischargers to notify the VCWPD in advance of scheduled discharges and to comply with all applicable regulations. Permit application is available at <https://vcca.ventura.org/>.

4.6 Did you organize and maintain records of all notifications, local permits, and non-stormwater discharges greater than 100,000 gallons in an electronic database? (Yes or No) [Order – III.A.5.b]

Yes

4.7 Did you determine that any of the conditionally exempt non-stormwater discharges, with the exception of essential non-stormwater discharges, identified per Part III.A.5.c of the Order is a source of pollutants that causes or contributes to an exceedance of applicable receiving water limitations and/or water quality-based effluent limitations? If so, how many of the conditionally exempt non-stormwater discharges in Part III.A.3.b of the Order did you determine to be sources of pollutants that caused or contributed to an exceedance of receiving water limitations or WQBELs? If you made that determination, which type(s) of non-stormwater discharges in Part III.A.3.b were sources of pollutants? [Order – III.A.6]

No

4.8 If you answered yes to the question 4.7 above, check all that apply [Order – III.A.6].

Effectively prohibit the non-stormwater discharge into the MS4	<input type="checkbox"/>
Impose conditions in addition to those in Table 5 of the Order, subject to approval by the Los Angeles Water Board Executive Officer, on the non-stormwater discharge such that it will not be a source of pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/>
Require diversion of the non-stormwater discharge to the sanitary sewer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Require treatment of the non-stormwater discharge prior to discharge to the receiving water	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Non-Stormwater Outfall Screening and Monitoring

Complete the following items in this section.

5.1 Complete the tables below regarding your Non-Stormwater Outfall-Based Screening and Monitoring Program [*Attachment E – VII*].

Receiving Water	No. of Outfalls within your Jurisdiction	No. of Outfalls Screened during this Reporting Year	No. of Screening Events During This Reporting Year	Outfalls with Significant Non-Stormwater Discharges ¹²			
				Total Confirmed	Total Abated	Total Attributed to Allowable Sources ¹³	Total Being Monitored
TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Total	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

TBD – To be determined

Method of Abatement	Total No.
Low Flow Diversion (LFD)	N/A
Illicit Discharges Eliminated	N/A
NPDES Permitted	N/A
Retention	N/A
Discharge No Longer Observed	N/A
Other (describe in Section 5.3)	N/A

5.2 Los Angeles County Permittees: N/A

¹² “Significant Non-Stormwater Discharges” as identified by the Permittee per Part VII.B of the Attachment E - MRP.

¹³ “Allowable Sources” refers to the discharges exempt from the Prohibition of Non-Stormwater Discharges listed in Part III.A.2 of the Order.

5.3 Additional Information. If desired, provide additional information regarding Non-Stormwater Outfall Screening and Monitoring.

The Watershed Protection District is in the process of GIS analysis and desktop review to determine number and location of the Watershed Protection District's Major Outfalls subject to non-stormwater outfall screening and monitoring as required by the Permit.

6. Minimum Control Measures (MCM) - Please see attached 2010 Permit MCM Forms for applicable sections.

New minimum control measures (MCMs) as defined in the 2021 Regional MS4 Permit will become effective after the Ventura County Watershed Management Programs are approved by Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. For this reporting year, MCMs are reported in the attached 2010 Permit's Annual Report Forms.

Complete the following items in this section.

6.1 General Provisions [Order – VIII.A.3]

Did you train all your employees in targeted positions (whose interactions, jobs, and activities affect stormwater quality) on the requirements of the Minimum Control Measures in this Order, or did you ensure contractors performing privatized/contracted municipal services are appropriately trained to: (a) Promote a clear understanding of the potential for activities to pollute stormwater, (b) Identify opportunities to require, implement, and maintain appropriate BMPs in their line of work? (Yes or No)

Yes

6.2 Public Information and Participation Program [Order - VIII.D]

Complete the following item regarding the Public Information and Participation Program.

6.2a) Summarize opportunities created for public engagement in stormwater planning and program implementation to raise public awareness of stormwater program benefits and needs (e.g., *Don't Trash California* campaign). Note whether activities were performed by the jurisdiction or as part of a watershed, regional, or county-wide group [VIII.D.3.a].

Please see Attachment B – 2023 Countywide PIPP Annual Report for more detailed information regarding the Countywide Public Outreach Program. Activities noted in Section 6.2 all refer to Countywide Program efforts.

With few COVID-19 restrictions in place, VCSQMP was able to better target outreach to a youth demographic. As part of the 22-23 Communications Plan, VCSQMP developed "What in the Watershed?" Bingo, a Ventura County-specific bingo game customized to elementary and high school youth.

Two (2) versions of the bingo card were created, tailoring bingo terms and activities to each age group. Elementary schoolers could take a walk with their parent(s), teachers and/or homeschool group leader while identifying key aspects of the watershed and learning about correct pollution prevention behaviors.

High schoolers typically have more independence and mobility than elementary schoolers, so their bingo card gave them an option to take a hike in a local watershed, neighborhood, or other location. The high school version also required youth to identify key aspects of the watershed but requested that they engage in pollution prevention activities: for example, safety picking up several pieces of trash out of a storm drain rather than simply identifying the drain.

To promote the Bingo Card, Sagent ran a \$200 paid social media ad, targeted to teachers in Ventura County, which delivered 49,755 impressions and 1,849 link clicks (6.2B). Additionally, Sagent conducted email outreach via PeachJar and direct emails to school district teachers and administrators. A flyer was developed for digital distribution, providing information about the Bingo Cards in English and Spanish.

Separately, we supported the promotion of activities surrounding Earth Day, Coastal Cleanups and other sponsored events of the PIPP Committee members and community through our social media channels.

To meet the NPDES permit requirements for outreach, the VCSQMP strives to deliver a minimum of 5 million impressions per year to general public education related to stormwater quality. Sagent maximized a relatively small budget and developed a media plan to deliver impressions using multiple tactics: digital, radio, and outdoor ads in English and Spanish to increase awareness and opportunity for behavior change in Ventura County related to stormwater.

The media plan was negotiated with the goal of maximizing target reach and frequency on a limited budget; inflation contributed to higher media costs while the 22-23 media budget remained the same. Attention was paid to geographical distribution throughout Ventura County as well as adequate coverage of the Hispanic market.

- 6.2b) Summarize educational activities and public information activities to facilitate stormwater and non-stormwater pollution prevention and mitigation. What pollutants were targeted? What audiences were targeted? Note whether activities were performed by the jurisdiction or as part of a watershed, regional, or county-wide group [VIII.D.3.b].

Please see Attachment B – 2023 Countywide PIPP Annual Report for more detailed information regarding the Countywide Public Outreach Program. Activities noted in Section 6.2 all refer to Countywide Program efforts.

For the 2022-23 year, VCSQMP maximized existing creative for the paid media campaign. “Every Litter Bit Matters” and “Enjoy and Protect” continued to be utilized, with slight adaptations for specific media tactics.

VCSQMP developed “What in the Watershed?” Bingo, a Ventura County-specific bingo game customized to elementary and high school youth

With few COVID-19 restrictions in place, VCSQMP was able to better target outreach to a youth demographic. As part of the 22-23 Communications Plan, VCSQMP developed “What in the Watershed?” Bingo, a Ventura County-specific bingo game customized to elementary and high school youth.

Two (2) versions of the bingo card were created, tailoring bingo terms and activities to each age group. Elementary schoolers could take a walk with their parent(s), teachers and/or homeschool group leader while identifying key aspects of the watershed and learning about correct pollution prevention behaviors.

High schoolers typically have more independence and mobility than elementary schoolers, so their bingo card gave them an option to take a hike in a local watershed, neighborhood, or other location. The high school version also required youth to identify key aspects of the watershed but requested that they engage in pollution prevention activities: for example, safety picking up several pieces of trash out of a storm drain rather than simply identifying the drain.

To promote the Bingo Card, Sagent ran a \$200 paid social media ad, targeted to teachers in Ventura County, which delivered 49,755 impressions and 1,849 link clicks.

To meet the NPDES permit requirements for outreach, the VCSQMP strives to deliver a minimum of 5 million impressions per year to general public education related to stormwater quality. Sagent maximized a relatively small budget and developed a media plan to deliver impressions using multiple tactics: digital, radio, and outdoor ads in English and Spanish to increase awareness and opportunity for behavior change in Ventura County related to stormwater.

- 6.2c) In selecting targeted pollutants for public information/education topics, did you consider the proper management and disposal of (1) vehicle wastes (e.g., used oil, used tires); (2) household waste materials (i.e., trash and household hazardous waste, including personal care products, pharmaceuticals, and household cleaners); (3) pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers; (4) green waste; and (5) animal wastes? (Yes or No) If no, what other materials were considered? [VIII.D.3.b.i]

Please see Attachment B – 2023 Countywide PIPP Annual Report for more detailed information regarding the Countywide Public Outreach Program. Activities noted in Section 6.2 all refer to Countywide Program efforts.

Sagent created monthly Facebook and Instagram content calendars with videos, images, posts, and stories. Topics address general messages about stormwater, main pollutants of concern (POCs) and proper behaviors, highlighting the work of the PIPP Committee member cities, rain/stormwater posts, and key events.

- 6.2d) Which of the following methods were selected to distribute public information/educational materials? [VIII.D.3.b.ii]

Category	Yes	No
Internet-based platforms (e.g., stormwater websites, social media websites and applications)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commercial points-of-purchase (e.g., automotive parts stores, home improvement centers/ hardware stores/ paint stores, landscape / gardening centers, pet shops)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools (K- 12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Radio/television	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community events	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (specify) – Please see Attachment B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6.2e) Did you document and track information on the implemented Public Information and Participation activities including activity, date(s), method of dissemination, targeted behavior, targeted pollutant, targeted audience, culturally effective method(s), other information necessary for the metrics identified in Part VIII.D.4.a of the Order, and metric for measuring effectiveness? (Yes or No) [VIII.D.4.b]

Yes. Please see Attachment B – 2023 Countywide PIPP Annual Report for more detailed information regarding the Countywide Public Outreach Program. Activities noted in Section 6.2 all refer to Countywide Program efforts.

6.2f) What metrics did you use to measure the effectiveness in achieving the objectives of the Public Information and Participation Program? Considering those metrics, is your Public Information and Participation program effective? Explain [VIII.D.4.a].

Please see Attachment B – 2023 Countywide PIPP Annual Report for more detailed information regarding the Countywide Public Outreach Program. Activities noted in Section 6.2 all refer to Countywide Program efforts.

To meet the NPDES permit requirements for outreach, the VCSQMP strives to deliver a minimum of 5 million impressions per year to general public education related to stormwater quality. Sagent maximized a relatively small budget and developed a media plan to deliver impressions using multiple tactics: digital, radio, and outdoor ads in English and Spanish to increase awareness and opportunity for behavior change in Ventura County related to stormwater. The VCSQMP will be executing a second wave of the 2022 Effectiveness Evaluation. This second wave allows us to track the effectiveness of our campaign messaging, assess the public’s awareness of watersheds and correct behaviors, and compare any changes over time from the first wave. The results of this survey (planned to be executed in Spring 2024) will help inform outreach and education messaging for future years.

6.2g) Additional Information. If desired, provide additional information regarding implementation of the Public Information and Participation Program.

Please see Attachment B – 2023 Countywide PIPP Annual Report for more detailed information regarding the Countywide Public Outreach Program. Activities noted in Section 6.2 all refer to Countywide Program efforts.

Since the 2017/18 Permit Year the Program has contracted with environmental rap superhero, Mr. Eco and his EcoHero Show to perform school-wide assemblies for elementary school students in Ventura County. The presentations are designed to inspire the next generation of eco-friendly citizens through choreographed dance, video, and lyrics about environmental issues. Each song has a call-and-response aspect to the chorus and kids and teachers are encouraged to dance and sing along. Mr. Eco's songs "Litterbug", "Bag Monster" and "EcoHero Anthem" were selected to be performed at the virtual assemblies because of their anti-littering and waste messages that encourage kids to take action against pollution at school and at home. A total of six Whole School Assemblies and 19 Classroom Presentations were successfully scheduled and performed, reaching an estimated 2,886 students. A year end recap is provided in Attachment B.

Understanding Ventura County's water resources are limited, and that water wasted down the gutter will also transport pollution, the Program teamed up with Ventura County water purveyors to develop a waterwise landscaping website (www.venturacountygardening.com). There the user will find information on how to design and install a water-wise garden, and irrigation methods and equipment that will help water a landscape more efficiently, along with suggestions on how to easily and effectively maintain a garden. The website includes a directory of drought-tolerant plants and example gardens. Special additions were made to include rain gardens, permeable pavement options, and rain barrels. In spring 2019 the Program led an effort to update the site to be mobile-friendly and have a new look and feel. The new website landing page also highlights stormwater and links to the Community for a Clean Watershed website, www.cleanwatershed.org. In the 2022/23 Permit Year there were 8,384 website visits.

The Permit requires the Permittees to individually and collectively organize community-oriented educational activities and events and to participate in countywide events focusing on stormwater quality. The main countywide event for the stormwater program is Coastal Cleanup Day. Our Coastal Cleanup Day is part of international coastal cleanup day and is an annual trash pickup event held on the third Saturday each September. Volunteers typically spend three hours of their Saturday morning picking up litter from beaches, parks, and local waterways. The 28th Annual California Coastal Cleanup Day included cleanups at 22 locations countywide with 1,510 volunteers participating in Ventura County, collectively picking up over 7,200 pounds of trash 22 different sites.

6.3 Industrial and Commercial Facilities Program [Order – VIII.E] Please see applicable 2010 MCM Annual Report Forms attached.

Complete the following items regarding the Industrial and Commercial Facilities Program.

6.3a) Watershed-Based Inventory:

Question	Yes	No
Have you updated your watershed-based inventory or database of all industrial and commercial facilities within your jurisdiction that are critical sources ¹⁴ of stormwater pollution identified in Part VIII.E.2 of the Order (inventory shall be updated at least once every 2 years)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6.3b) If you answered yes to question 6.3a above, what is the total number of facilities in your inventory list?

N/A

6.3c) If you answered no to question 6.3a above, when will you update the inventory list?

N/A

6.3d) Commercial Facilities [VIII.E.3]:

Question	Response
In implementing the Outreach Program, how many commercial facilities did you reach out to during this reporting year?	N/A
In implementing the Business Assistance Program, how many commercial facilities did you assist during this reporting year?	N/A
How many commercial facilities did you inspect during this reporting year?	N/A
Of the commercial facilities inspected during this reporting year, how many were the first, second, third, etc. round of inspections? For example, report x number of first-round inspections, y number of second-round inspections, z number of third-round inspections, etc. Each round of inspections corresponds to the requirement to conduct an inspection every two years.	N/A
How many of the total commercial facility inspections had stormwater violation(s) during this reporting year?	N/A

¹⁴ Part VIII.E.2.a of the Regional MS4 Permit summarizes “critical sources” to be tracked.

6.3e) Industrial Facilities [VIII.E.4]:

Question	Response
How many facilities from question 6.3b are industrial facilities with SIC codes that require enrollment in the IGP? (in this reporting year)?	N/A
How many industrial facilities did you report to the Los Angeles Water Board as non-filers during this reporting year?	N/A
In implementing the Business Assistance Program, how many industrial facilities did you assist during this reporting year?	N/A
How many Industrial facilities did you inspect during this reporting year?	N/A
Of the industrial facilities inspected during this reporting year, how many were the first, second, third, etc. round of inspections? For example, report x number of first-round, y number of second-round, and z number of third-round, etc. Each round of inspections corresponds to the requirement to conduct an inspection every two years.	N/A
How many of the total industrial facility inspections had stormwater violation(s) during this reporting year?	N/A

6.3f) Enforcement Actions: Describe the number and nature of any enforcement actions taken related to the industrial and commercial facilities program [VIII.E.6].

N/A

6.3g) Additional Information. If desired, provide additional information regarding implementation of the Industrial and Commercial Facilities Program.

N/A

6.4 Planning and Land Development Program [VIII.F] Please see applicable 2010 MCM Annual Report Forms attached.

Complete the following items regarding the Planning and Land Development Program.

6.4a) Priority Development Projects: Complete the table below for Priority Development Projects as of the end of this Reporting Year [VIII.F.1].

Development Type	Number of Priority Development Projects Completed During This Reporting Year	Number of Priority Development Projects In-Progress
New Development	N/A	N/A
Redevelopment	N/A	N/A

6.4b) Use of Alternative Compliance Measures for Priority Development Projects. Provide the number of Priority Development Projects completed during this Reporting Year that utilized alternative compliance measures per Part VIII.F.4.b of the Order.

Category	Number of Projects
On-site Biofiltration	N/A
On-site Flow-based BMPs	N/A
Off-site Infiltration	N/A
Groundwater Replenishment Projects	N/A
Off-site Retrofit Projects	N/A
Other	N/A

6.4c) Exemptions to Priority Development Project Performance Requirements. If the Permittee is implementing an approved Local Ordinance Equivalence or an approved Regional Stormwater Mitigation Program per Part VIII.F.1.c, describe the area covered by these exemptions; and the number and names of Priority Development Projects that were exempted from the Order’s Priority Development Project Structural BMP Performance Requirements.

N/A

6.4d) Priority Development Project Greater Than 50 Acres. If applicable, provide information on any Priority Development Projects with a project area greater than 50 acres that were completed during this Reporting Year or are currently in-progress. Information should include the name and location of the project(s) and whether the project(s) are new development or redevelopment.

N/A

6.4e) Hydromodification Management: If applicable, provide information on the name, location, and nature of any projects requiring hydromodification controls that were completed or in-progress within this Reporting Year [VI.F.2].

N/A

6.4f) Exemptions to Hydromodification Controls: Are there any areas where assessments of downstream channel conditions and proposed discharge hydrology indicate that adverse hydromodification effects to beneficial uses of Natural Drainage Systems are

unlikely, per Part VIII.F.2.b? If so, what are the numbers and names of the New Development and Redevelopment projects exempt from implementation of hydromodification controls?

N/A

6.4g) Tracking, Inspection and Enforcement of Post-Construction BMPs: Describe the number and nature of any enforcement actions taken related to the planning and land development program [VIII.F.3.c.v]. **Provide information for 6.4g in Section 6.4h**

Question	Yes	No
Does your program implement a GIS or other electronic system for tracking Priority Development Projects and Hydromodification Management Projects that at a minimum contains all the information required by Permit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does your program inspect all Priority Development Projects and Hydromodification Management Projects upon completion of construction and prior to issuance of occupancy certifications to ensure proper installation of post-construction BMPs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6.4h) Additional Information. If desired, provide additional information regarding implementation of the Planning and Land Development Program.

N/A

6.5 Construction Program [Order – VIII.G] Please see applicable 2010 MCM Annual Report Forms attached.

Complete the following items regarding the Construction Program.

6.5a) Complete the table below. Only report numbers for sites less than 1 acre.

Question	Response
How many new sites of less than one acre commenced their activities during this reporting year?	N/A
How many sites of less than one acre did you inspect during this reporting year?	N/A
How many (if any) of the sites from the previous question had a BMP violation [VIII.G.4.b]?	N/A

6.5b) Complete the table below. Only report numbers for sites 1 acre or greater and construction sites less than 1 acre that are part of a common plan of development totaling 1 acre or greater.

Question	Response
What is the date of the latest update made to the site inventory [VIII.G.5.b]?	6/30/23
How many new sites of 1 acre or greater commenced their activities during this reporting year?	N/A
How many sites of 1 acre or greater did you report to the Los Angeles Water Board as non-filers [VIII.G.5.a]?	N/A
How many post-construction plans were reviewed during this reporting year [VIII.G.5.a]?	N/A
How many of the plans from the previous question were approved during this reporting year?	N/A
How many (if any) sites of 1 acre or greater did you inspect during this reporting year [VIII.G.5.c]?	N/A
How many (if any) of the inspected sites were in violation of construction BMPs?	N/A
How many (if any) of the inspected sites were in violation of post-construction plans?	N/A
How many of the sites from the previous two questions were reported to the Los Angeles Water Board along with an inspection report?	N/A

6.5c) Enforcement Actions: Describe the number and nature of any enforcement actions taken related to the development construction program [VIII.G.6].

N/A

6.5d) Additional Information. If desired, provide additional information regarding implementation of the Construction Program.

6.6 Please see applicable 2010 MCM Annual Report Forms attached.

6.7 Public Agency Activities Program [VIII.H] Please see applicable 2010 MCM Annual Report Forms attached.

Complete the following items regarding the Public Agency Activities Program.

6.6a) Answer the following questions regarding the Public Agency Activities Program.

Question	Response
Did you maintain an updated inventory or database of all your owned or operated (i.e., public) facilities and activities within your jurisdiction that are potential sources of stormwater pollution? [VIII.H.2]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
For the above inventory, what is the date of the latest update [VIII.H.2.c]?	N/A
How many treatment control BMPs including post-construction control treatment BMPs do you own? [VIII.H.2.b.vi]	N/A
For the above, how many inspections were conducted during this reporting year? [VIII.H.3.e]	N/A
How many storm drain inlets do you own?	0
How many of the above are labeled with a legible “no dumping” message? [VIII.H.6.c.i]	N/A
Did you inspect the legibility of all the stencils or labels nearest each inlet prior to the wet season during this reporting year? [VIII.H.6.c.ii]	N/A
If yes for the above, how many illegible stencils and labels were recorded?	N/A
For the illegible stencils and labels recorded above, how many were re-stenciled and re-labeled within 180 days of inspection? For those not re-stenciled and re-labeled, explain why not. [VIII.H.6.c.iii]	N/A
Did you visually monitor owned open channels and other drainage structures for trash and debris at least annually? [VIII.H.6.d.i]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
How many miles of open channels do you own?	144
Did you remove trash and debris from your open channels a minimum of once per year before the wet season? [VIII.H.6.d.ii]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
How many parking lots exposed to stormwater do you own that meet either criteria listed in Part VIII.H.9?	N/A
Did you inspect Permittee-owned parking lots exposed to stormwater that meet either criteria listed in Part VIII.H.9 at least twice per month?	N/A
For the above, how many inspections were conducted during this reporting year? [VIII.H.9]	N/A
For the owned parking lots exposed to stormwater, how many cleanings were conducted in total for this reporting year? [VIII.H.9]	N/A

6.6b) Street Sweeping: Complete the table below [VIII.H.8].

	Total Miles of Street ¹⁵ in Priority Category	Frequency of Street Sweeping (e.g., Twice a Month, Monthly, Annually)	Additional Notes
Priority A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Priority B	N/A	N/A	N/A
Priority C	N/A	N/A	N/A

6.8 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program [Order – VIII.I] Please see applicable 2010 MCM Annual Report Forms attached.

Complete the following items regarding the Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program.

6.7a) IDDE Investigations: Complete the table below. Include illicit discharges detected through other inspection programs.

	Number of Reported Illicit Discharges	Number of Investigations	Number Eliminated	Number Permitted or Exempt	If Not Eliminated or Permitted / Exempt, Explain.
Illicit Discharges	6	6	6	0	N/A

6.7b) Enforcement Actions: Describe the number and nature of any enforcement actions taken related to illicit discharge detection and elimination program [Order – VIII.I.7].

Please see attached 2010 MCM form.

6.7c) What means were provided to the public for public reporting of illicit discharges and other water quality impacts from stormwater and non-stormwater discharges into or from MS4s? [VIII.I.6]

Category	Yes	No
Telephone hotline	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Email address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Web-based form / reporting portal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6.7d) Did you document all public reports of illicit discharges and track all investigations? If no, explain why. [Order – VIII.I.8]

¹⁵ Permittees shall report the length of street swept in the “total miles of street” and/or “total curb miles of street”, depending on data availability.

Yes.

6.7e) Additional Information. If desired, provide additional information regarding implementation of the Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program.

(Provide information within this space)

7. Trash Reporting

Complete the following items in this section.

7.1 Trash TMDL Permittee Compliance [Order – IV.B.3]

- 7.1a) If you are subject to Trash TMDL Permittees, complete and attach the provided “Trash TMDL Permittee Reporting Forms” in Attachment I of the Order for each applicable Trash TMDL Permittee. Report your compliance with the applicable interim and/or final Effluent Limits for trash below. If compliance with the applicable interim and/or final Effluent Limits for trash has not been achieved, explain why.

Ventura County Watershed Protection District (VCWPD) is subject to Trash TMDLs in Ventura River Estuary subwatershed, Revolon Slough and Beardsley Wash subwatersheds, and upper Malibu Creek watershed. VCWPD does not have regulatory authority over land uses and meets Trash TMDL requirements through collaborative implementation of Minimum Frequency of Assessment and Collection (MFAC)/Best Management Practices (BMPs) Programs. VCWPD has two full capture devices installed in Las Posas and Ramona detention basins to achieve effective and efficient compliance for the County of Ventura with Revolon Slough and Beardsley Wash Trash TMDL.

VCWPD is responsible for performing storm drain operation and maintenance including open channel signage, open channel maintenance that includes removal of trash and debris; and implementation of activity specific BMPs, including those related to litter/debris/graffiti in compliance with the Permit (Order – IV.B.3.c.i).

- 7.1b) Mark the compliance approach you have implemented for any applicable Trash TMDL Permittees.
- Full Capture Systems
 - Mass Balance
 - Scientifically Based Alternative
 - Minimum Frequency of Assessment and Collection

- 7.1c) Complete the table below regarding the catch basins within your jurisdiction.

	Retrofitted with Full Capture Systems	Retrofitted with Partial Capture Devices	Retrofitting Infeasible	Not Retrofitted	Total Number of Catch Basins within Jurisdiction
Owned	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Owned	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Ventura County Watershed Protection District does not own or operate catch basins.

- 7.1d) If relying on full capture systems, are the maintenance records of the full capture systems within your jurisdiction up-to-date and available for inspection by the Los Angeles Water Board? [Order – IV.B.3.b.i.(c)]

2010 Permit MCM Forms

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Illicit Discharge and Illicit Connections 2022-2023

Illicit Discharges

Page 1 of 3

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Illicit Discharge Complaints Received	
Number of illicit discharges reported:	12
Number of reports responded to:	12
Number of reports that were actual illicit discharges:	6
Number of illicit discharges that were resolved:	6

Enforcement Actions Taken to Eliminate Illicit Discharges	
Total number of warnings:	1
Total number of NOVs:	1
Total number of legal actions/fines:	0
Total number enforcement actions for illicit discharges:	2

Type of Illicit Discharges								
Hazardous Material	Sewage	Wastewater	Building Materials	Landscape Debris	Animal wastes	Litter/Trash	Other	Total*
	1		1	1	1	2		6

Type definitions	
Hazardous Material	By-products of society that can pose a substantial or potential hazard to human health or environment when improperly managed. Posses at least one of the four following characteristics (ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity), or identified as a listed waste (e.g. oil, used anti-freeze, hydraulic fluid).
Sewage	The waste and wastewater produced by residential and commercial sources and discharged into sewers, includes the sludge produced by Publicly Owned Treatment Works.
Wastewater	The spent or used water from a home, community, farm or industry that contains dissolved or suspended matter.
Building Materials	Any debris associated with construction activities used to construct a building and/or stand/alone facility, such as plaster, dry-wall, nails, wood, etc.
Landscape Debris	Excessive eroded soils, sediment and/or organic materials
Animal wastes	Discharge from confinement facilities, kennels, pens, recreational facilities, stables, show facilities, and residential yards.
Litter/Trash	Synthetic consumer by-products
Other	Any remaining materials that do not fit into the above mentioned categories.

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Illicit Discharge and Illicit Connections 2022-2023

Illicit Discharges

Page 2 of 3

Permittee: **Watershed Protection District**

Probable Causes of Illicit Discharges					
Accident	Cleaning Activities	Spill/ Overflow	Unknown Cause	Other	Total*
1	1		1	3	6

Probable Cause Definitions	
Accident	An unpreventable or chance happening that occurs unexpectedly, without deliberate plan or cause.
Cleaning Activities	Any activity intended to wash, tidy up, or make clean.
Spill/ Overflow	A preventable release of material; may be the result of poor maintenance or negligence.
Unknown	Cause is unidentified; unable to determine origin.
Other	Any remaining incidents that do not fit into the above mentioned categories.

Sources of Illicit Discharges					
Residential	Industrial/ Commercial	Permittee Facility	Construction Activities	Unknown Source	Total*
3		1	1	1	6

Source Definitions	
Residential	Discharge generated from residential activities; can include solid waste (trash, discarded appliances), automotive maintenance/cleaning by-products (oil, transmission fluid), home repair/remodel waste (paint, plaster) and yard trimmings.
Industrial/ Commercial	Discharge of all solid and unwanted materials emanating from a business or industrial facility/operation; may be liquid, sludge, solid or hazardous.
Co-permittee Facility	Discharge of effluent or waste from a Co-permittee owned facility (includes corporate yards, and waste water treatment plants); may be composed of domestic wastewaters and/or industrial
Construction Activities	Discharge of building materials, dredging materials (including sediment), tree stumps, and rubble from construction and demolition of homes, commercial buildings and other structures and pavements. May contain lead, asbestos, or other hazardous material.
Unknown	Any discharge from city streets and adjacent domestic or commercial properties that could carry pollutants of various kinds into the storm drains and receiving waters that cannot be traced to source or does not fit into the above mentioned categories.

*The total of each table should equal the total actual illicit discharges.

	Cause	Type	Source	Actual Illicit Discharges
Total	6	6	6	6

continued

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Illicit Discharge and Illicit Connections 2022-2023

Illicit Discharges

Page 3 of 3

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Comments: (Please provide any additional information on how illicit discharges were detected, inspected and eliminated.)

--

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Illicit Discharge and Illicit Connections

2022-2023

Performance Measures

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Public Notifications

Did the Permittee document the procedures of the ID/IC Program and make them available for public review?	Yes
Did the Permittee maintain a phone hotline to receive reports of ID/IC?	Yes
Did the Permittee maintain a web site to receive/direct reports of ID/IC?	Yes

Legal Authority

Does the Permittee have legal authority to prevent and remove illicit connections and illicit discharges?	Yes
---	-----

Response

Did the Permittee respond within one business day or discovery or report of a suspected illicit discharge and abate, contain, and/or cleanup the discharge?	Yes
Did the Permittee investigate illicit discharges during or immediately following containment and cleanup activities?	Yes
Did the Permittee take appropriate enforcement action to eliminate the illicit discharge?	Yes
Did the Permittee keep records of all illicit discharge discoveries, reports, responses, and formal enforcement?	Yes

Comments:

--

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Illicit Discharge and Illicit Connections

2022-2023

Tracking Location of ID/IC

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Mapping	
Did the Permittee submit a map of all channeled portions of the storm drain system in a uniform format? (Due by October 8, 2010)	Yes
Did the Permittee submit to the Principal permitted a map of all portions of the storm drain system consisting of pipes 36 inches in diameter or greater in a uniform format? (Due by May 7, 2012)	Yes
Did the Permittee submit map of all portions of the storm drain system consisting of pipes 18 inches in diameter or greater in a uniform format? (Due by May 7, 2014)	Yes

Field Screening	
Did the Permittee screen all portions of the storm drain system consisting of pipes 36 inches in diameter or greater? (Due by May 7, 2012)	Yes
Did the Permittee screen all high priority areas identified during the mapping of illicit connections and discharges? (Due by May 7, 2012)	Yes
Did the Permittee screen all portions of the storm drain system 50 years of age or older? (Due by May 7, 2012)	Yes

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Construction Inspections 2022-2023

Permit Tracking

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Did the Permittee maintain an electronic system to track grading permits, encroachment permits, and any other municipal authorization to move soil?

Permit Category	Total Number of Permits Granted in Reporting Period
Grading Permits	0
Encroachment Permits	44
Demolition Permits	0
Building Permits	0
Local Construction Permits	0
Other	0
Total	44

Comments:

Information provided by VCPWA-Watershed Protection's Planning and Permits Division

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Construction Inspections 2022-2023

Inspections

Page 1 of 2

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Minimum BMPS	
Was each construction site less than 1 acre inspected to ensure that the minimum set of BMPs (Table 6) were implemented?	Yes
Was each construction site greater than 1 acre and less than 5 acres inspected to ensure that the minimum set of BMPs (Tables 6 & 7) were implemented?	N/A
Was each construction site greater than 5 acres inspected to ensure that the minimum set of BMPs (Tables 6, 7 & 8) were implemented?	N/A
Was each project that includes roadbed or street paving, (non-maintenance) inspected to ensure that the minimum set of BMPs were implemented?	N/A

High Risk Sites	
Did the Permittee ensure implementation of enhanced practices such as increased BMP inspection and maintenance requirements at "high risk" sites?	Yes
Did the Permittee require that high risk sites be inspected by the project proponent's Qualified SWPPP Developer or Qualified SWPPP Practitioner or personnel or consultants who are Certified Professionals in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC) at the time of BMP installation, at least weekly during the wet season, and at least once each 24 hour period during a storm event that generates runoff from the site?	Yes
Did the Permittee require that the project proponent retain records of the inspection and a determination and rationale of the BMPs selected to control runoff during the wet season?	Yes

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Construction Inspections 2022-2023

Enforcement

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Enforcement Actions	
Total number of Job Memorandums issued:	2
Total number of NOVs issued:	0
Total number of Admin Compliance Orders issued:	0
Total number of Cease/Desist Work Orders issued:	0
Total number of projects of Referred to Regional Board:	0
Total number of complaints transmitted by Regional Board:	0

Regional Board

Referrals to Board	
Total number violators of the municipal storm water ordinance and CWC 513260 referred to the Regional Water Board, after taking necessary measures:	0
Total number non-filers under the CASGP, referred to the Regional Water Board, no later than 15 days after making a determination of failure to file:	0

Referrals from Regional Board	
Total number of complaints transmitted by Regional Board	0
Was an initial investigation initiated within one business day on complaint(s) transmitted by the Regional Water Board Staff on the construction site(s) within its jurisdiction?	N/A

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Construction Inspections

2022-2023

Post Construction BMPs

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Were the constructed site design, source control and treatment control BMPs inspected to verify that they have been constructed in compliance with all specifications, plans, permits, ordinances, and the MS4 permit prior to approving and/or signing off for final occupancy and issuing the Certificate of Occupancy for all construction projects subject to post-construction controls?	N/A
---	-----

Number of projects inspected for post-construction stormwater controls prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy:	0
---	---

One project with multiple buildings or units may have multiple Certificates of Occupancy

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Construction Inspections

2022-2023

Training

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Training	
Number of Staff Targeted	70
Number Staff Trained	70

Was training conducted for all staff whose primary job duties are related to implementing the construction stormwater program in Part VIII.G of the 2021 Regional Permit?	Yes
---	-----

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Public Agency Activities 2022-2023

Facilities

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Did the Permittee require Permittee-owned and/or leased facilities, including but not limited to vehicle/equipment maintenance facilities, material storage facilities, and corporation yards, to ensure implementation of appropriate BMPs identified in Table 10 of the Permit?	Yes
---	-----

Summary of Permittee-Owned and Leased Vehicle Maintenance, Material Storage and Corporation Yards	
Facility Name	Location
WPD MOORPARK CORPORATE YARD	6767 "C" SPRING ROAD MOORPARK, CA.
WPD Saticoy Operations Yard	11251-B RIVERBANK DR VENTURA, CA 93004

Vehicle And Equipment Wash Areas	
Did the Permittee address discharges of wash waters from vehicles and equipment washing facilities by implementing one of the following (Due by July 8, 2011) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Self-contain, and haul-off for disposal;• Equip with a clarifier;• Equip with an alternative pre-treatment device; or• Plumb to the sanitary sewer?	Yes

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Public Agency Activities
2022-2023

Integrated Pest Management

Permittee: **Watershed Protection District**

Integrated Pest Management	
Did the Permittee implement an integrated pest management (IPM) program consistent with Permit? (Due by July 8, 2011)	Yes
Did the Permittee prepare and annual update an inventory of pesticides used by all internal departments, divisions, hired contractors and other operational units? (Due by September 8, 2012)	Yes
Did the Permittee establish standard protocols for routine and non-routine application of pesticide consistent with the permit requirements? (Due October 8, 2010)	Yes

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Public Agency Activities 2022-2023

Storm Drain Maintenance

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

<p>Did the Permittee develop and implement a Storm Drain Maintenance Program that includes (Due by October 6, 2010):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual monitoring of Permittee-owned open channels and other drainage structures for debris <ul style="list-style-type: none"> at least annually; • Removal of trash and debris from open channel storm drains a minimum of once per year before the wet season; • Elimination of the discharge of contaminants during MS4 maintenance and clean outs; and 	Yes
---	-----

Summary of Maintenance	Amount of facility type in system	Miles of facilities inspected/cleaned at least once prior to the wet season (number may be greater than total in system)	Total tons of debris removed (estimate)
Channels / Other Drainage Structures (report in miles)	144	144	148,053
Detention/Retention Basins	56	56	54,792

Comments:		
Zone	Channel	Debris Basin
Zone 1 & 2	64,389	54,792
Zone 3 & 4	83,664	0
Total	148,053	54,792

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Public Agency Activities 2022-2023

Trash Management

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Do the Permittee have trash receptacles, or equivalent trash capturing devices in areas subject to high trash generation within its jurisdiction? (Due by July 8, 2011)	Yes
Were trash receptacles cleaned out and maintained as necessary to prevent trash overflow?	Yes
Did the Permittee provide additional trash management practices in areas defined as Priority A? (Due by July 8, 2012)	
How many trash excluders have been installed to date?	7
Did the Permittee implement alternative or enhanced BMPs instead of trash excluders?	
Did Permittee require appropriate measures for any event in the public right of way or wherever it is foreseeable that substantial quantities of trash and litter may be generated? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proper management of trash and litter generated• Arrangement for temporary screens to be placed on catch basins• Arrangement that trash is removed after the event	

Briefly describe what your agency has done to identify high trash areas and manage trash and litter from those areas.

To capture and remove trash from VCPWA-WP facilities, the following BMPs were installed:

- 1) One trash rack at Mirror Lake Drain in Oak View, CA,
- 2) One traveling screen system at the Port Hueneme Pump Station, and
- 3) Three trash booms upstream of Victoria Ave in Oxnard West Drain;

To meet RS/BW Trash TMDL, two basins were retrofitted to capture trash from County Unincorporated areas:

- 1) Las Posas Detention Basin, and
- 2) Ramona Detention Basin.

Briefly describe what additional trash management your agency has done within Priority A areas (if any). Need to demonstrate equivalent trash removal if trash excluders were not installed.

See above; District completed a number of trash cleanouts due to homeless encampments in response to public complaints

Briefly describe what your agency has done to manage trash and litter from public events:

N/A

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Public Agency Activities
2022-2023

Spills and Emergency Response

Permittee: **Watershed Protection District**

Were there any emergencies that caused the Permittee to invoke Emergency Procedures Self-Waiver?	N/A
Were self-waivers reported to the Regional Board?	N/A

Summary of Emergency Procedures	
Date Emergency Procedures invoked	Description

Comments:

Annual Report Data Summary Sheet

Public Agency Activities 2022-2023

Training

Permittee: Watershed Protection District

Training	
Number of Staff Targeted	53
Number Staff Trained	53

Did the Permittee provide training for key staff whose interactions, jobs, and activities affect stormwater quality? (Due June 30, annually)	Yes
Did the Permittee provide training for contractors, or ensure that contractors were trained, whose interactions, jobs, and activities affect stormwater quality? (Due June 30, annually)	N/A
Did the Permittee conduct training for key staff that use or have the potential to use pesticides or fertilizers? (Due June 30, annually)	Yes
Did the Permittee provide training for contractors who use or have the potential to use pesticides or fertilizers, or ensure that contractors were trained? (Due June 30, annually)	Yes
Starting in March, 2022 was position-applicable training provided to new staff within 180 days of starting employment?	Yes

Comments:

* Note:

The County needs to ensure that contractors performing privatized/contracted municipal services are Annual contractor training is required for those who use or have the potential to use pesticides and/or

- i. The potential for pesticide-related surface water toxicity;
- ii. Proper use, handling, and disposal of pesticides;
- iii. The least toxic methods of pest prevention and control, including Integrated Pest Management (IPM); a
- iv. Reduction of pesticide use.

Outside contractors can self-certify, providing they certify they have received all applicable training to implement the requirements in Municipal Stormwater Permit and have documentation to that effect.

County training videos are available at

<https://www.vcpubliworks.org/wp/waterresourcesdivision/countystormwaterprogram/>



July 20, 2023

TIFFANY N. NORTH
COUNTY COUNSEL

JEFFREY E. BARNES
CHIEF ASSISTANT

ALBERTO BOADA
EMILY T. GARDNER
JOSEPH J. RANDAZZO
PRINCIPAL ASSISTANTS

ASSISTANTS

JOSEPH BRICK
LISA CANALE
JASON CANGER
PHEBE W. CHU
MITCHELL B. DAVIS
DAVID EDSALL JR.
ALISON L. HARRIS
MARTHA ANN KNUITSON
CYNTHIA KRAUSE
KAREN V. MARBLE
BRETT B. MCMURDO
ILENE F. MICKENS
SEAN A. PEREZ
MARINA PORCHE
CHRISTINE A. RENSHAW
JACLYN SMITH
MATTHEW A. SMITH
LINDA L. STEVENSON
THOMAS W. TEMPLE
FRANCESCA S. VERDIN
ERIC WALTERS

Ms. Susan Arredondo, Executive Officer
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
320 West 4th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, California 90013

RE: Ventura County Watershed Protection District's Statement of Legal Authority

Dear Ms. Arredondo:

In accordance with the Part VI.B.2 of the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R4-2021-0105 Regional Municipal Stormwater Permit No. CAS004004, the following is the required statement of legal authority for the Ventura County Watershed Protection District:

Ventura County Watershed Protection District possesses the legal authority within its jurisdiction to implement and enforce each of the applicable requirements contained in 40 CFR section 122.26(d)(2)(i)(A-F) and the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R4-2021-0105 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CAS004004 pursuant to the provisions of the Ventura County Watershed Protection District Act, California Water Code Appendix, chapter 46, and article 2 of Ventura County Watershed Protection District Ordinance No. WP-2, Protection and Regulation of Watercourses. The administrative and legal procedures available to compel compliance with the Water Code and Ordinance No. WP-2 are set forth therein and may be completed administratively.

Sincerely,

TIFFANY NORTH,
County Counsel, County of Ventura

Alberto Boada

ALBERTO BOADA
Principal Assistant County Counsel
AB:sg